

To: Karen Schwinn/R9/USEPA/US@EPA;Tom Hagler/R9/USEPA/US@EPA;Tim Vendlinski/R9/USEPA/US@EPA[]; om Hagler/R9/USEPA/US@EPA;Tim Vendlinski/R9/USEPA/US@EPA[]; im Vendlinski/R9/USEPA/US@EPA[]
Cc: []
From: CN=Erin Foresman/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US
Sent: Fri 5/11/2012 7:38:10 PM
Subject: Fw: NEPA Collaboration & testimony (UNCLASSIFIED)

v. interesting ... if you are into that nepa/404 stuff.

----- Forwarded by Erin Foresman/R9/USEPA/US on 05/11/2012 12:37 PM -----

-----Original Message-----

From: Toland, Tanis J SPK
Sent: Thursday, May 10, 2012 2:39 PM
To: Nepstad, Michael G SPK
Subject: NEPA Collaboration & testimony

Working on NEPA clarifications for an Ops project, I ran into this 25 April 2012, written statement of Thomas Margro to the House Committee on the Judiciary Subcom on Courts, Commercial and Admin Law. Talks of MOUs and collaboration on NEPA and LEDPA and accuses federal env agencies of failing to carry thorough ton the MOU and decisions reached through the collaborative process. Corps is mentioned. Although Mr. Margro is in the Transportation sector, his language seems similar to what Kern County Water Agency used in their BDCP letter.

<http://judiciary.house.gov/hearings/Hearings%202012/Margro%2004252012.pdf>

Thank you for all of your help today.

Tanis

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Caveats: NONE